

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/202,681	12/23/1999	ERIC J. MATHUR	09010/044001	3238
25225 75	590 03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
3811 VALLEY SUITE 500	CENTRE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CA 92130-2332		1652	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advison, Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/202,681	MATHUR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

Advisory Action	09/202,681	MATHUR ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard G. Hutson	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 3/11/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC		-			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv. 	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be of the final rejection.	ndonment of this applevidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31; st be filed within one	es the or (3) a of the following		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	RST REPLY WAS FILE			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any		
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate was filed on 14 February 2005. A brief in compliance with Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS.	th 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed with ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid di	in two months of the dismissal of the appea	date of filing the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered t	h0001100		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further compared (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(1 1 OL 02 4).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2 and 48-52. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-21 and 31-47.		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		T .			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	1/11		
13. Other:					
		Richard G Hutson, Primary Examiner	Ph.D.		

Art Unit: 1652

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment after-final rejection will raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Specifically applicants proposed amendment of claim 18, which is drawn the polypeptide of claim 10 with the limitation that an enzymatically active fragment is at least 30 amino acids in length is unclear as claim 10 does not include "enzymatically active fragments" by virtue of its being drawn to those polypeptdes encoded by a polynucleotide as set forth in claims 1 or 2. Claims 1 and 2 are not drawn to polynucleotides which encode enzymatically active fragments.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants complete arguments are acknowledged, however, found nonpersuasive on the basis of the non-entry of applicants proposed amendment. The rejections of record remain.